Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the North East
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 250

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.

Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy?

|  |  | Total | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | Percentage down | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | 250 100.0\% | 125 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% | 125 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy? | Base: All respondents |  | 250 100.0\% | 125 | 50.0\% |  | 125 | 50.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 129 51.5\% | 54 | 43.2\% | 41.9\% | 75 | 59.8\% | 58.1\% |
|  | No | $64.25 .8 \%$ | 34 | 27.3\% | 52.9\% | 30 | 24.3\% | 47.1\% |
|  | Not Sure | $57.22 .7 \%$ | 37 | 29.5\% | 64.9\% | 20 | 16.0\% | 35.1\% |

Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy?

|  |  | Total | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-24 | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  | 250 100.0\% | 37 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 45 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 38 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 50 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy? | Base: All respondents |  | 250 100.0\% | 37 | 15.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 45 | 18.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 38 | 15.0\% |  | 50 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 129 51.5\% | 6 | 15.6\% | 4.6\% | 21 | 52.4\% | 16.3\% | 21 | 45.9\% | 16.1\% | 26 | 63.9\% | 19.9\% | 23 | 60.7\% | 17.7\% | 33 | 65.7\% | 25.5\% |
|  | No | 64 25.8\% | 16 | 42.2\% | 24.5\% | 7 | 17.7\% | 11.0\% | 11. | 25.3\% | 17.6\% | 9 | 21.4\% | 13.3\% | 8 | 22.2\% | 12.9\% | 13 | 26.6\% | 20.7\% |
|  | Not Sure | $57.22 .7 \%$ | 16 | 42.2\% | 27.8\% | 12 | 29.9\% | 21.0\% | 13 | 28.8\% | 22.8\% | 6 | 14.7\% | 10.4\% | 6 | 17.0\% | 11.2\% | 4 | 7.6\% | 6.7\% |

Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the North East
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 250

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.

Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing?

|  |  | Total |  | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { down } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total <br> Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing? |  |  |  | 250 | 100.0\% | 125 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% | 125 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | Base: All respondents |  |  | 250 | 100.0\% | 125 | 50.0\% |  | 125 | 50.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 165 | 66.2\% | 76 | 60.7\% | 45.9\% | 89 | 71.6\% | 54.1\% |
|  | No | 37 | 14.9\% | 17 | 14.0\% | 46.8\% | 20 | 15.9\% | 53.2\% |
|  | Not Sure | 47 | 18.9\% | 32 | 25.3\% | 66.8\% | 16 | 12.6\% | 33.2\% |

Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to in

|  |  | Total | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-24 | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | $250.100 .0 \%$ | 38 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 45 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 38 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 50 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing? | Base: All respondents |  | 250 100.0\% | 38 | 15.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 45 | 18.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 38 | 15.0\% |  | 50 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 165 66.2\% | 19 | 50.7\% | 11.5\% | 26 | 65.3\% | 15.8\% | 30 | 66.6\% | 18.1\% | 28 | 69.6\% | 16.8\% | 29 | 76.9\% | 17.4\% | 34 | 67.2\% | 20.3\% |
|  | No | 37 14.9\% | 7 | 20.0\% | 20.1\% | 7 | 17.3\% | 18.6\% | 5 | 11.3\% | 13.6\% | 4 | 10.8\% | 11.5\% |  | 11.7\% | 11.8\% | 9 | 18.3\% | 24.5\% |
|  | Not Sure | 47 18.9\% | 11 | 29.3\% | 23.3\% | 7 | 17.3\% | 14.7\% | 10 | 22.1\% | 21.1\% |  | 19.7\% | 16.6\% | 4 | 11.4\% | 9.0\% | 7 | 14.5\% | 15.3\% |

Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the North East
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 250

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.

Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/or provides more affordable housing?


Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and

|  |  | Total | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18-24 |  |  | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { down } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | $250.100 .0 \%$ | 38 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 45 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 40 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 37 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 50 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/or provides more affordable housing? | Base: All respondents | 250 100.0\% | 38 | 15.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 45 | 18.0\% |  | 40 | 16.0\% |  | 37 | 15.0\% |  | 50 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 82 32.6\% | 16 | 43.1\% | 19.8\% | 11 | 27.7\% | 13.6\% | 15 | 33.7\% | 18.6\% | 12 | 31.2\% | 15.3\% | 10 | 25.9\% | 11.9\% | 17 | 33.9\% | 20.8\% |
|  | No | 116 46.3\% | 12 | 30.7\% | 10.0\% | 14 | 34.5\% | 11.9\% | 24 | 52.8\% | 20.5\% | 18 | 45.5\% | 15.7\% | 23 | 60.3\% | 19.5\% | 26 | 51.8\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Not Sure | 53 21.1\% | 10 | 26.1\% | 18.6\% | 15 | 37.8\% | 28.7\% | 6 | 13.4\% | 11.5\% | , | 23.3\% | 17.7\% | 5 | 13.8\% | 9.8\% | 7 | 14.4\% | 13.7\% |

Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the UK (excl North East)
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 1000

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.
Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy?

|  |  | Total | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy? | Base: All respondents |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 50.0\% |  | 500 | 50.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 493 49.3\% | 216 | 43.1\% | 43.7\% | 277 | 55.5\% | 56.3\% |
|  | No | 315 31.5\% | 159 | 31.9\% | 50.6\% | 156 | 31.1\% | 49.4\% |
|  | Not Sure | 192 19.2\% | 125 | 25.0\% | 65.1\% | 67 | 13.4\% | 34.9\% |

Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy?

| Total Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18-24 |  |  | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { across } \end{aligned}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 180 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 200 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Do we need to build more houses to boost the economy? | Base: All respondents | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 180 | 18.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 200 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 493 49.3\% | 52 | 34.4\% | 10.5\% | 74 | 46.1\% | 15.0\% | 86 | 47.7\% | 17.4\% | 85 | 53.4\% | 17.3\% | 86 | 57.0\% | 17.3\% | 111 | 55.4\% | 22.5\% |
|  | No | 315 31.5\% | 60 | 39.9\% | 19.0\% | 48 | 30.2\% | 15.4\% | 61 | 34.2\% | 19.5\% | 46 | 28.6\% | 14.5\% | 45 | 29.8\% | 14.2\% | 55 | 27.4\% | 17.4\% |
|  | Not Sure | 192 19.2\% | 39 | 25.7\% | 20.1\% | 38 | 23.6\% | 19.7\% | 33 | 18.2\% | 17.0\% | 29 | 18.0\% | 15.0\% | 20 | 13.1\% | 10.3\% | 34 | 17.2\% | 17.9\% |

Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the UK (excl North East)
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 1000

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.
Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing?

|  |  | Total | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing? | Base: All respondents |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 50.0\% |  | 500 | 50.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 652 65.2\% | 311 | 62.3\% | 47.8\% | 340 | 68.0\% | 52.2\% |
|  | No | 147 14.7\% | 69 | 13.8\% | 46.9\% | 78 | 15.6\% | 53.1\% |
|  | Not Sure | 201 20.1\% | 120 | 23.9\% | 59.4\% | 82 | 16.3\% | 40.6\% |

Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to incl

|  |  | Total | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-24 | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 180 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 200 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Should there be a legislative requirement for any new developments to include an element of affordable housing? | Base: All respondents |  | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 180 | 18.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 200 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 652 65.2\% | 89 | 59.2\% | 13.6\% | 93 | 58.4\% | 14.3\% | 108 | 60.2\% | 16.6\% | 111 | 69.5\% | 17.1\% | 110 | 73.0\% | 16.8\% | 140 | 70.0\% | 21.5\% |
|  | No | 147 14.7\% | 16 | 10.9\% | 11.1\% | 22 | 13.6\% | 14.8\% | 32 | 17.7\% | 21.7\% | 23 | 14.3\% | 15.5\% | 23 | 15.3\% | 15.6\% | 31 | 15.7\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Not Sure | $201.20 .1 \%$ | 45 | 29.9\% | 22.3\% | 45 | 28.0\% | 22.3\% | 40 | 22.0\% | 19.7\% | 26 | 16.2\% | 12.9\% | 18 | 11.7\% | 8.7\% | 29 | 14.3\% | 14.2\% |

Client: The Journal
Agency: panelbase.com
Universe: Adults resident in the UK (excl North East)
Methodology: Online panel
Sample size: 1000

Fieldwork dates: 17th to 21st October 2013

Weighting: All the results were weighted by age and gender to be representative of the population nationally.
Further enquiries: Helen Mather, helen@otherlinesnorth.com

Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/or provides more affordable housing?

|  |  | Total | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percertage } \\ & \text { down } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/or provides more affordable housing? |  |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% | 500 | 100.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | Base: All respondents |  | 1000 100.0\% | 500 | 50.0\% |  | 500 | 50.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 305 30.5\% | 131 | 26.2\% | 43.0\% | 174 | 34.7\% | 57.0\% |
|  | No | 538 53.8\% | 273 | 54.6\% | 50.8\% | 265 | 53.0\% | 49.2\% |
|  | Not Sure | 157 15.7\% | 96 | 19.2\% | 61.0\% | 61 | 12.3\% | 39.0\% |

Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/

|  |  | Total | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-24 | 25-34 |  |  | 35-44 |  |  | 45-54 |  |  | 55-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
|  |  | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ | Counts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { down } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { across } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 180 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 160 | 100.0\% | 16.0\% | 150 | 100.0\% | 15.0\% | 200 | 100.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Do you support building on the Green Belt if it boosts the economy and/or provides more affordable housing? | Base: All respondents |  | 1000 100.0\% | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 180 | 18.0\% |  | 160 | 16.0\% |  | 150 | 15.0\% |  | 200 | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Yes | 305 30.5\% | 68 | 45.6\% | 22.4\% | 50 | 31.5\% | 16.5\% | 56 | 30.9\% | 18.2\% | 39 | 24.4\% | 12.8\% | 39 | 25.8\% | 12.7\% | 53 | 26.4\% | 17.3\% |
|  | No | 538 53.8\% | 50 | 33.6\% | 9.4\% | 66 | 41.0\% | 12.2\% | 96 | 53.2\% | 17.8\% | 97 | 60.7\% | 18.1\% | 98 | 65.0\% | 18.1\% | 131 | 65.7\% | 24.4\% |
|  | Not Sure | 157 15.7\% | 31 | 20.9\% | 19.9\% | 44 | 27.6\% | 28.0\% | 29 | 15.9\% | 18.2\% | 24 | 14.9\% | 15.1\% | 14 | 9.2\% | 8.8\% | 16 | 7.9\% | 10.0\% |

